arXiv:1703.10813v1 [cs.SE] 31 Mar 2017

Improving Communication in Scrum Teams

Marvin Wyrich[1], Ivan Bogicevic[2], and Stefan Wagner[2]

[1] AEB GmbH, Stuttgart, Germany
marvin.wyrich@aeb.com
[2] Institute of Software Technology, University of Stuttgart, Germany
(ivan.bogicevic|stefan.wagner)@informatik.uni-stuttgart.de

Abstract. Communication in teams is an important but difficult issue. In a Scrum development process, we use the Daily Scrum meetings to inform others about important problems, news and events in the project. When persons are absent due to holiday, illness or travel, they miss relevant information because there is no document that protocols the content of these meetings. We present a concept and a Twitter-like tool that improves communication in a Scrum development process. We take advantage out of the observation that many people do not like to create documentation but they do like to share what they did. We used the tool in industrial practice and observed an improvement in communication.

Key words: Scrum, agile communication, activity tracking

1 Introduction

Communication is an essential part of the work in any Scrum team. Many of the everyday events and activities are communicated orally in the Daily Scrum. The larger a team gets the more difficult is the communication.

1.1 Problem Statement

Every day, a lot of activities and events happen in agile teams and some of them are really important for other team members to know. Especially whenever decisions were taken, new tasks emerged or unexpected incidents happened, and team members are not adequately informed it can become problematic. The Daily Scrum allows members of a Scrum team to keep up with the latest activities of their colleagues. The problem is that Daily Scrum meetings do not get documented and not every team member takes part in these meetings regularly. We also observed that not every important activity or event is communicated in the Daily Scrum, e.g. due to the fact that there are several other communication channels or most of the team members took part in the event. So even a documentation of the Daily Scrum would not cover the whole team's activities. The situation becomes even worse if a team member is absent for a while. The consequence is that an individual has to seek for these information in different

places and has to ask his or her colleagues. This costs valuable time of several team members and it is not guaranteed that the information seeker gets informed about every important event.

1.2 Research Objectives and Contributions

The objective of our research is to lower the effort of getting a complete overview of what recently happened within a Scrum team. In particular we want to develop a tool for generating a summary based on activities and events tracked by individual team members. This summary should contain all relevant information for an individual team member to eliminate the need for most additional information sources. The tool aims to ensure better communication in large Scrum teams even when developers are absent for a while.

2 Related Work

One of the most important challenges in large Scrum teams is inter-team coordination [1]. Coordination requires communication both between teams and within a team. [2] shows in a case study that handling with knowledge over a longer period of time can only be managed with extensive communication. But important events that occur during development could be relevant to others and are often not sufficiently documented or communicated [3]. Software developers do not update relevant documents, do not see their benefits and wish more automatic generation of documented content [4] [5]. An example of such a generation is an automatic summarizer for daily scrum meetings proposed by [6]. Nevertheless there is a gap in the current state of the art on how developers of agile teams stay informed when being absent. Our proposed tool tries to fill this gap.



Fig. 1. *happening* allows individual members of a Scrum team to efficiently track their activities. The tool then provides a summary of the whole team's activities.

3 Concept and Solution

We described the lack of documentation of daily activities and events and how this negatively influences the communication in Scrum teams. People usually do not like to create documentation but they do like to share what they did. As a consequence *happening* serves as a documentation tool that gives an individual team member a way to share his or her experiences in short form, just like they would do on Twitter. Then *happening* creates the documentation on demand by generating a summarized representation of the entries, as shown in Fig. 1.

The solution consists of two parts: a simple form for inserting individual events and a page for viewing the summary for a selected time period. The latter is shown in Fig. 2. Any event entry consists of a description, a manually selected priority and the date on which the event took place. The priority is on a scale from one to three and indicates for what period of time the event will be relevant to others. Thus the priority of an individual event has significant influence on what is shown in the summary if the user wants to hide events that are no longer relevant. Currently the solution is a stand-alone tool with a web interface and thus can be accessed by its users via any web browser. We also offer an online demo installation where the tool can be tried out without installation.[1]


From
13.02.2017
To
16.02.2017
Hide events which are no longer relevant
Update filter
15.02.2017
Bianca Hegele
I had a conversation with Matthias and his team about the integration of SSP in our product. They will try to finish their API during the week.
Thomas Minderberg
Finished the implementation of the rating feature. It needs some manual testing now.
Kurt Reinholdt
Fixed a minor bug in the comment section. Layout collapsed for users without avatar.

Fig. 2. Summary of activities and events of a Scrum team for a selected time period. The entry of Kurt Reinholdt was given a lower priority and thus has a smaller avatar.

[1] https://github.com/MarvinWyrich/happening

4 Evaluation and Conclusion

We evaluated the tool in a productive environment of a Scrum team, which used the previously described tool in its day-to-day work. The team was made up of eight persons, from which one worked from home, one was located in Sweden and the others were at the same office in Germany during the evaluation period. The team members were told to track their activities and events on a daily basis and to use the summary of the tool in their Daily Scrum. At the end of the evaluation period the team members gave their anonymous feedback in a questionnaire.

We found that the summarizing presentation of the team's activities is not that useful in the Daily Scrum. The selected Scrum team was already used to have the JIRA task list opened during the Daily Scrum. So the developers wished to integrate happening as a plugin in JIRA to not have two tools open at the same time. However, seven out of eight participants said that *happening* was useful outside of the Daily Scrum and they think it would be a great help after a longer period of absence. Moreover, every participant responded that the use of *happening* would be worthwhile to his or her team, preferably integrated in existing Scrum tools.

The concept and tool worked well in practice and helped improving the communication in agile teams. The costs for using the tool are low as sharing the important information goes fast.

Acknowledgements

We want to thank AEB GmbH who made this work possible and who provided the persons for the tool evaluation. We also thank Fujitsu Next who supported this work with a 5.000 Euro grant and the first price of its *Agile IT*-Award 2016.

References

1. Torgeir Dingsøyr and Nils Brede Moe. 2013. Research challenges in large-scale agile software development. SIGSOFT Softw. Eng. Notes 38, 5 (August 2013), 38-39.
2. S. Ratanotayanon, J. Kotak und S. E. Sim. After the Scrum: Twenty Years of Working without Documentation. In: Eighteenth International Conference on Software Engineering and Knowledge Engineering (SEKE). 5-7. San Francisco, CA, USA, 2006, 200-205.
3. Marcello Visconti and Curtis R. Cook. 2002. An Overview of Industrial Software Documentation Practice. In Proceedings of the XII International Conference of the Chilean Computer Science Society (SCCC '02). IEEE Computer Society, Washington, DC, USA, 179-186.
4. Andrew Forward and Timothy C. Lethbridge. 2002. The relevance of software documentation, tools and technologies: a survey. In Proceedings of the 2002 ACM symposium on Document engineering (DocEng '02). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 26-33.
5. Timothy C. Lethbridge, Janice Singer, and Andrew Forward. 2003. How Software Engineers Use Documentation: The State of the Practice. IEEE Softw. 20, 6 (November 2003), 35-39.
6. S. Park. A Daily Scrum Meeting Summarizer for Agile Software Development Teams. Masters Thesis. Canada: University of Calgary, Aug. 2007